

**REMARKS**

Reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested for the following reasons:

1. Objection to Specification

The specification has been amended to clarify that the emitting devices emit *respective* same sections, rather than the same sections. That is, as pointed-out by the Examiner, the emitting device 10 repeatedly emits one same signal section and the emitting device 12 repeatedly emits another same signal section, the signal sections emitted by the devices being mutually different.


2. Objection to Claims

This objection has been overcome by adopting the claim language suggested in item 4 of the Official Action (except that, in claim 2, the suggested “each said emitting devices emit” has been changed to –each said emitting devices emits– for grammatical reasons). It is noted that the amendments to the specification correspond to and support the suggested claim language.

Having thus overcome each of the objections made in the Official Action, and in view of the indicated allowability of claims 1-3, withdrawal of the objections and expedited passage of the application to issue is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

BACON & THOMAS, PLLC



Date: December 7, 2005

By: BENJAMIN E. URCIA  
Registration No. 33,805

BACON & THOMAS, PLLC  
625 Slaters Lane, 4th Floor  
Alexandria, Virginia 22314  
Telephone: (703) 683-0500

N:\B.S\Producer\ben\b\Pending Q...Z\YYEN 050123\w01.wpd